arXiv:1408.4627v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 20 Aug 2014

# BTW model on dilute lattice

Ajanta Bhowal Acharyya

*Department of Physics, Lady Brabourne College,*
*P-1/2 Suhrawardy Avenue, Calcutta-700017, INDIA*
E-mail:ajanta.bhowal@gmail.com

**Abstract:** The variation of $\bar{z}$ in BTW model in presence of holes (dissipative sites) has been studied. The value of $\bar{z}$ decreases as the fraction of number of holes increases. Interstingly, it is observed that the variation of the rate of change of $\bar{z}$ with the fraction of number of holes is different for the two different types of distribution of holes over the lattice. When the holes are randomly distributed over the lattice then the dissipation is more compared to that of the case when the holes are present in the form of a single compact cluster with same fraction. The value of $\bar{z}$ is less in the first case than that observed in the second case.

**PACS Numbers: 05.50 +q**
**Keywords: BTW model, SOC**

E-mail:ajanta.bhowal@gmail.com

## I. Introduction

There exists some extended driven dissipative systems in nature which show self-organised criticality (SOC). This phenomena of SOC is characterised by spontaneous evolution into a steady state which shows long-range spatial and temporal correlations. The simple lattice automata model of sandpile which shows this SOC behaviour was introduced by Bak, Tang and Wiesenfeld (BTW)[1]. The steady state dynamics of the model shows a power law in the probability distributions for the occurence of the relaxation (avalanches) clusters of a certain size, area, lifetime, etc. Extensive work has been done so far to study the properties of the model in the steady SOC state[2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

Dissipative sandpile model has also been studied recently. However, it is in question whether the SOC state is reached by dissipative model. Manna, Kiss and Kertesz [10] studied a sandpile mdel in presence of dissipation. In this model, the dissipation of a grain is considered during a relaxing event in a probabilistic manner. The numerical results showed that the system reaches a subcritical state, with a characteristic size of the avalanches depending inversely on the probability of dissipation. On the other hand, Manna et al. [11] studied the directed dissipative sandpile model in two dimensions with observation that the long time steady state is critical. Malcal et al [12] studied the dissipative sandpile model (with closed boundary) and found that the scaling properties are in the universality class of the stochastic Abelian model with conservative dynamics and open boundaries. Najafl et al [13] studied the statistics of avalanches and the wave frontiers in dissipative Abelian sandpile model.

In this paper, we have studied the average value of the height variable (averaged over all the lattice sites) on the dilute lattice (in presence of some holes or dissipative sites on the lattice), in steady state. We have considered the holes on the lattice created in two different ways: (i) the holes are created at randomly selected sites of the lattice and (ii) the cluster of holes are in the form a large cluster of square shape, situated around the central site of the lattice. In this paper, we have studied how the value of average height variables ($\bar{z}$) decreases as the fraction of number of holes increases, in both the cases.

## II. The model and simulation

The BTW model is a lattice automata model which shows some important

properties of the dynamics of the system, evolving spontaneously towards a critical state. We consider a two dimensional square lattice of size $L \times L$ with some holes on it. Out of these $L^2$ site, a particular fraction of sites are chosen randomly as hole. If any particle falls on any one of these holes, the particle will dissipate through the hole.

The model can be described in the following way: At each site $(i, j)$ of the lattice, a variable (so called height) $z(i, j)$ is associated which can take positive integer values. The height variable associated with the hole-sites remains zero for all time. In every time step, one particle is added to a randomly chosen site according to

$$z(i, j) = z(i, j) + 1. \tag{1}$$

If, at any site the height variable exceeds a critical value $z_m$ (i.e., if $z(i, j) \geq z_m$), then that site becomes unstable and it relaxes by a toppling. As an unstable site topples, the value of the height variable, of that site is decreased by 4 units and that, of each of the four of its neighbouring sites increased by unity (local conservation), i.e.,

$$z(i, j) = z(i, j) - 4 \tag{2}$$

$$z(i, j \pm 1) = z(i, j \pm 1) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad z(i \pm 1, j) = z(i \pm 1, j) + 1 \tag{3}$$

for $z(i, j) \geq z_m$. Each boundary site is attached to an additional site which acts as a sink. We use here the open boundary conditions so that the system can also dissipate through the boundary. In our simulation, we have taken $z_m = 4$. In this paper, the system can not only dissipate through the boundary but also through holes present on the lattice.

Now, we allow the system to evolve under the BTW dynamics (following eqns 1-3) starting from an initial condition with all the sites having $z = 0$. As in the case of BTW model, here also the average height variable first increases and ultimately it reaches a steady value.

In this paper, we have studied how this steady value changes with the fraction of holes($f_h$) in two different cases of the arrangement of holes on the lattice. We have considered two different arrangement of holes on the lattice, (i) The holes are distributed randomly on the lattice and (ii) the holes are in the form of a regular cluster of square shape around the central site of the lattice.

## III. Results

In our simulation, we first studied the variation of average height variable ($\bar{z}$) with time for different values of the fraction of holes. Fig.1 shows this variation when the holes are situated randomly on the lattice, for a fixed system size ($L = 101$) for three different values of the fraction of holes (0 to .2), for a particular configuration of the holes. Fig.2 shows this variation when the holes form a cluster of square shape and placed at the centre of the square lattice of size ($L = 101$). In this case we consider the size of the square shaped cluster of hole as $L_c \times L_c$, where $L_c = (2 * n_h + 1)$ ($n_h$=1,11,21 )

From Fig.1 and Fig.2, it is observed that the value of the average height variable ($\bar{z}$) in the steady state decreases as the fraction of holes ($f_h$) in the lattice increases, in both the arrangement of holes.

We also studied the distribution of avalanche size in BTW model with holes(randomly scattered) for $L = 101$, for 201 different sample. And Log-Log plot of this distribution is plotted in the Fig. 3 together with that for BTW model.

When the holes are created, randomly on the lattice, with a finite probability, then there will be a small fluctuation in the number of holes ($N_h$) created. In our simulation, for a fixed system size ($L = 101$), for a particular probability of holes on the lattice, we took the sample average of $N_h$ from 100 different samples. And the average value of fraction of holes is caluculated using the relation $f_h = \frac{N_h^2}{L^2}$. We also calculate the sample average of time average value of average height variable ($\bar{z}$) . We have taken the sample average of the fraction of holes($f_h$) as well as time average of average value of height variable ($< \bar{z} >$).

Whereas in the second case, when the holes are in the form of a square shaped cluster, we have taken the time average of the average value of height variable, for a particular size of the cluster (i.e, for a particular fraction of the holes in the cluster). The variation of average value of height variables with the fraction of holes is studied in both the cases.

It is interesting that the value of average height variable decreases with different rate as the fraction of holes increases in the two cases. Fig4 shows the variation of the value of average height variable with the fraction of holes for two different arrangement of holes for $L = 101$.

**IV. Summary**

The self-organised criticality is studied in a dilute square lattice by using lattice automata (BTW) model. The dilution in the lattice is created in two different ways. The (i) randomly distributed and (ii) regular clustered with same fraction of dilution. The diluted site retains the value zero of the BTW automaton throughout the dynamics towards the self organisation of critical state. Hence, the dilution acts like the points of dissipation in the lattice. The average value of the BTW automaton is observed to take different values for two different kinds of the arrangements of the dilution. It is found that in the intermediate range of the dilution, for random distribution of holes, the average value of the automaton is less than that for regular clustered distribution. Eventually, both types of distribution provides the same value of average of automaton for very low and high fraction of dilution.

# References


[1] P. Bak, C. Tang and K. Wiesenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett., **59** (1987) 381; Phys. Rev. A, **38** (1988) 364.

[2] D. Dhar, Phys. Rev. Lett., **64** (1990) 1613; S. N. Majumder and D. Dhar, J. Phys. A **24** (1991) L357.

[3] E. V. Ivashkevich, J. Phys. A **27** (1994) 3643; V. B. Priezzhev, J. Stat. Phys, **74** (1994) 955.

[4] V. B. Priezzhev, D. V. Ktitarev and E. V. Ivashkevich, Phys. Rev. Lett **76** (1996) 2093.

[5] A. Benhur and O. Biham, Phys. Rev. E **53** (1996) R1317.

[6] S. Lubek and K. D. Usadel, Phys. Rev. E **55** (1997) 4095.

[7] E. V. Ivashkevich, J. Phys. A **27** (1994) L585.

[8] L. Pietronero, A. Vespignani and S. Zapperi, Phys. Rev. Lett., **72** (1994) 1690; E. V. Ivashkevich, Phys. Rev. Lett, **76** (1996) 3368.

[9] A. Bhowal, Physica A **247** (1997) 327

[10] S. S. Manna, L. B. Kiss and J. Kertesz, J. Stat. Phys., **61**, (1990) 923

[11] S. S. Manna, A. D. Chakrabarti and R Cafiero, Phys. Rev. E, **60**, (1999) R5005

[12] O. Malcal, Y. Shllo and O. Blham, Phy. Rev. E , **73**, (2006) 056125

[13] M. N. Najafl, S. M. Araghl and S. Rouhanl, Phys. Rev. E, **85** (2012) 051104




**Fig. 1**. The time varitions of $\bar{z}$ for BTW model with holes (randomly scattered ) for three different values of fraction of holes($f_h$).




**Fig. 2**. The time variations of $\bar{z}$ for BTW model with holes (as a single cluster) for three different values of the fraction of holes.




**Fig. 3**. Log-Log plot of the unnormalized distributions of avalanche size for BTW model and BTW model with holes (randomly scattered) for two different values of the fraction of holes.




**Fig. 4**. Variation of time average of $\bar{z}$ ($< \bar{z} >$) with fraction of holes ($f_h$) for BTW model with holes when holes are randomly scattered for $L = 51(*)$ , $L = 101(+)$ and for a single cluster of hole for $L = 51(\diamond)$, $L = 101(\times)$